

NAWG Work Group Assignments

Assigned: 12/7/2015

USGIF NAWG fast facts

- Group participation includes 52 individual companies represented by 83 individual participants
 - Includes Small, Mid Size, and Large companies
 - Includes Staff Augmentation, Developers, and Vendors
- Group participation includes 5 Geospatial-Intelligence consultants
 - Extensive experience in the Geospatial and Intelligence domains
- USGIF provides the infrastructure for servicing the working groups

..all participants are volunteers..

Group 1 - Communications

- **Procurement Communication** with Industry (Accuracy and timely communication, transparency, access to opportunities, what you say and what you do, etc.)

	Communications (1)	
Co-Leads	Bob Gadja	Bobgajda@aol.com
Co-Leads	Rob Zitz	robert.s.zitz@leidos.com
1	Mike Janeway	Mike.janeway@apgtech.com
2	John Macier	macierj@leidos.com
3	Patty Mims	pmims@esri.com
4	Nate Copeland	natecopeland@intecllc.net
5	Louis B. Hackerman	lhackerman@cray.com
6	Randy Brown	randy.brown@ices-inc.net
7	Alan Kittson	kittson@astrium-geo.com
8	Peter Makowsky	pmakowsky@caci.com

Group 2 - Innovation

- How to Get **Innovation** through the Contracting System (Contract types, eval. factors, LPTA vs best and brightest ideas, risk, IP issues, etc.) – shared risk model?

	Innovation (2)	
<u>Co-Leads</u>	Jane Mardis	jmaidis@digitalglobe.com
<u>Co-Leads</u>	Lance Killoran	lance@377omega.com
1	Deb Davis	Deb.Davis@gdit.com
2	Colin Thomas	colin.thomas@ca.com
3	Mike Manning	Mmanning@integrity-apps.com
4	Tony Quartararo	
5	Howard Weitzner	howard.m.weitzner@accenturefederal.com
6	Alex Fox	A.Fox@digitalglobe.com
7	Mary Irvin	Mary.Irvin@digitalglobe.com
8	Chuck Izzo	
9	Harsh Govind	harsh.govind@digitalglobe.com

Group 3 – Clarity and Efficiency of Proposals

- **Clarity and Efficiency** in Proposal and Evaluation Process (Fairness, equity, LPTA vs. Best Value, ID/IQ, teammate addition lockdown, incumbency, habits are driving bait and switch in pricing, FFP but BOEs/hours conflict, etc.)

	Clarity & Efficiency in Proposals (3)	
<u>Co-Leads</u>	Lee Bader	baderj@leidos.com
<u>Co-Leads</u>	Don Bergeson	donald.bergeson@l-3com.com
1	Nancy McGhee	nmcgee@geographic-services.net
2	Tom Lash	lasht@leidos.com
3	Joe Shuttleworth	shuttleworthj@abacustech.com
4	Manh Pham	Manh.pham@accenturefederal.com
5	Caroline Land	caroline.land@sas.com
6	Roger Mitchell	Roger.Mitchell@mdaus.com
7	Marc Kriz	
8	Phil Welch	pwelch@stellarsolutions.com

Group 4 – Balancing Business Size

- Balancing Acquisition Models with Respect to **Businesses Size** (Plight of midsize, small set-aside, small sub objectives, large business impacts, etc.) Including impacts to the business model overall.

	Business Size/Business Model Impact (4)	
<u><i>Co-Leads</i></u>	Renard Paulin	rpaulin@keywcorp.com
<u><i>Co-Leads</i></u>	Pete Hanson	hansonp@ctc.com
1	Bruce Donaldson	brucedonaldson@intecllc.net
2	Jim Vant	james.vant@octoconsulting.com
3	Julia Fink	jfink@bluecanopy.com

Group 5 - Security

- Read INSA white paper on **Security** and provide endorsement recommendations to co-chairs for USGIF consideration or further work specifically for the NAWG.

	Security (5)	
<i>Co-Leads</i>	Kathy Pherson	khp@pherson.org
<i>Co-Leads</i>	Steve Bailey	steve.bailey@s2eas.com
1	JJ Eiden	jjeiden@aol.com
2	Bob Gadja	Bobgajda@aol.com
3	Larry Ledbetter	lawrence.e.ledbetter@hpe.com

Sub-working Group Guidance

- Use USGIF as necessary to facilitate
- Sub-working groups are self paced
 - Refine your topics – cross fertilize with other subgroups
 - Work with co-chairs – NAWG co-chairs informed of meeting dates/times and NAWG related engagement with NGA
- Use NAWG website at USGIF to post results/progress/notes
- Meet as necessary over the next 60 days
 - Dec 17 Co-Chair dial-in meeting for status
 - Jan 7 General Assembly review

Next Steps


- 7 Jan: General Assembly Peer review
- 21 Jan: Co-Chairs and Sub Working Group Leads synch (dial in)
- 4 Feb: pre-brief by sub-committee's to the NAWG
- 18 Feb: Co-Chairs and Sub Working Group Leads synch (dial in)
- 24Feb: Final presentations complete
- 26 Feb: Final Peer Review
- 29 Feb-2 Mar: Recovery and final presentation formatting
- 3 March: Final Round out-brief to NGA
- 7-11 March: Review of Government comments
- 16 March: AFCEA NGA Industry Day
- 16 May: GeoINT

...sub-committee's self-governing cadence...

Back Up Slides

Innovation sub-sub working groups

- Group as of 12/15
- Use of ADKAR model for change



Phases of a change project

